

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,498	10/11/2000	Steven G. LeMay	IGT1P038	6189
	590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 778			MARKS, CHRISTINA M	
BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/689,498	LEMAY ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	C. Marks	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply to a				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid 	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		s issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 57 and 58.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-55 and 59-61</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	—·				
10. Other:	Di	Nallery				
	Supervisory	sa Walbarg Patent Examiner pup 3700				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims present limitations that have not previously been searched or considered. For example, the Examiner has not properly evaluated the multiple responsibilities of the master gaming controller that are now currently claimed. Further search and consideration are required to properly examine the mertis of the additional limitations.